



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR BOARD OF ALDERMEN MEETING  
OF MONDAY, OCTOBER 17, 2016  
AT 200 HIGHLANDS BOULEVARD DRIVE**

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor David L. Willson called the Regular Board of Aldermen meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL AND STATEMENT OF QUORUM

Roll call showed those present were Alderman Clement, Alderman Stevens, Alderman Hamill, Alderman Ottenad, Alderman Diehl, Alderman Baumann, Mayor Willson and Attorney Gunn. A quorum was present.

3. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

a. Minutes of the Regular Board of Aldermen meeting of October 3, 2016

Alderman Hamill made the motion to approve the Minutes of the Monday, October 3, 2016 Regular Board of Alderman meeting. The motion was seconded by Alderman Clement and carried unanimously without objection.

4. ESTABLISHMENT OF ORDER OF ITEMS ON THE AGENDA

Alderman Clement made the motion to accept the Order of Items on the Agenda as submitted. The motion was seconded by Alderman Hamill, and carried unanimously without objection.

5. CONSIDERATION OF PETITIONS AND COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

a. Comments from the Public

Ms. Tracey Garrett, 463 Lynwood Forest Drive, stated that the City of Manchester is having a crisis of conscious. She said she lives in the City of Manchester, Ward 2, with her husband and twin sons. She said she has lived in Manchester for 22 years. Ms. Garrett then read the following statement:

1. I am embarrassed at what we are doing to our employees. These individuals have made it possible for my family to do many family things – play t-ball when the boys were little, go to the pool, watch a dive in movie at the pool, watch (for hours thanks to having boys) the street crews making sure our way to and from our house was safe. I have bragged to friends and family when our street has been “clean pavement” after a snow when my entire family that lives in Kirkwood does not even have their streets touched. I work on the homecoming committee with some people that put in an amazing effort. It has been good to be taken care of by our employees, now I feel a deep sense of shame and embarrassment.
2. I am a teacher and get paid by tax dollars as well. As a teacher in Parkway at Oak Brook, I pay roughly 4% of my salary to insure my family. If I were a first year teacher, it would be roughly 7%. As an entry level maintenance worker in Manchester they will pay roughly 43% of their salary to insure their family. What have we done?
3. As a Board you have taken the family paid percentage from 50% covered by the city to 25%, why? Your job is to wisely spend the tax dollars of Manchester, this is not wise. We are not a business that is for profit, you need to take in money to cover expenses, not pass those expenses to employees that do not make much to start with. This is not saving money, it is asking the employees to pay the City’s expenses. (By the way, did you know how low Manchester ranks in salaries of employees but how high we rank in Mayoral and Aldermanic salaries – but that is another issue) Please find money other places, we currently are budgeting a 30% surplus when we only mandate ourselves to a 20% surplus. That money needs to be spent on employees. You made it a priority to balance your budget – how are our employees supposed to balance their budgets at home? Our maintenance worker that I speak of will have \$1,052 for all expenses, rent, utilities, co-pays, food, per month. That is \$520 less per month than last year. I do not know how they will live.
4. We are a city who, according to the U.S. Census, has a median household income of \$81,489. We can raise taxes if you want – we pay ridiculously low taxes, or even charge for trash. I know that fixed income has been an issue when it comes to charging for trash, but wait – if you are an individual living in Manchester and receive only your social security fixed income, you will receive roughly \$1,095 per month (all amounts differ). Ten dollars for trash per month would be less than 1% - remember our worker paying 43% in insurance premiums that you have raised his rate to? Actually, the individual on a fixed income at \$1095 per month takes in \$13,140 per year – we are asking our maintenance worker and their family to live on a net of \$12,629, less than a single retired individual living on a fixed income.
5. How has this happened? Why have we taken the employees out of the insurance process? Again, insurance is going up for everyone and Manchester needs to pay more - it should be the City’s burden on behalf of the employees instead of hitting the family budget of those who do not make very much. I know you looked carefully line-by-line, but when you step back and look at the big picture, it does not make sense.
6. I am asking the City to pay insurance at previous levels and percentages until this can be fully reviewed. This should not be the burden of an employee that works to make our City better. I request that instead of just looking line-by-line at a budget to see if the numbers make sense, the ramifications of budget decisions be reviewed, this one was obviously not.

7. To all of the employees, as a resident, I am sorry that this has happened and I hope the board will take the steps most immediately to come up with a solution that makes sense. I do hope you know you are valued and respected and I will do everything I can to make sure you know that from this board or any board in the future. Maybe what we really need is for more people on the board to be in your corner, the residents are. We might need more residents, such as myself, to be more active in our government.
8. I ask you, as a board, to make the changes necessary right away to relieve this unnecessary hardship to employees.

Mr. Al Gerber, 1342 Mason Village Court, Town and Country, stated he was there on behalf of Jill Schupp, to be the liaison to the City of Manchester and the City of Town and Country. He said if Manchester has any issues that could use Ms. Schupp's help at the State level, they are free to contact her directly.

Ms. Briana Hancock, 390 Allen, Florissant, wife of a Manchester employee, stated that after emailing everyone on the Board and only receiving one reply, she came to the conclusion that the Board is comprised of people who simply don't care about their employees. She said when she read the document issued on October 3 in response to that outrage that they received, that was the opinion that was cemented. She said even though it might be a lost cause, she is going to be addressing a few points in that document in the hope that the Board will re-track the decision and choose to do right by the officers and employees. She said when she questioned why you do not simply ask the residents of Manchester to pay their own trash fees, an option that the Mayor voiced at the last meeting, you voice your concern for the many older adults who also live on a fixed income, and you point out that they might only have a social security check and therefore are struggling to make ends meet. Ms. Hancock said that is laughable at best, as only seven percent of your community, according to the 2010 U.S. Census, is even old enough to draw a Social Security check. If you are truly concerned with their financial well-being, she suggested the Board should take a look at Florissant, where they offer a large discount to all senior citizens for their trash pickup. She said Manchester could easily establish a similar system offering free waste management for everyone on a fixed income and still have more than \$1.5 million available to pay the employees. She said that the idea that there are many residents on a small fixed income seems only to be an excuse for the Board's refusal to take accountability for a very poor decision. Ms. Hancock said that the Manchester Police Force is one of the lowest-paid departments in this area, even before the health insurance cut. She said that if you ask the City Administrator or the Chief or anyone in accounting they will tell you that Manchester has a very high turnover of officers, and that is because their salary is insulting. She said then, add to the insult, that the Board refuses to cover a decent amount of their health insurance. She said the Board says that it is too expensive, but she asked the Board to ask themselves this: "How expensive will it be when half of your police force quits? How expensive will it be to train at least 20 new officers? How expensive will it be to pay your remaining officers overtime to cover the shifts of the officers who finally put their foot down? Who will kill the spiders when your residents are scared of those spiders in their shower? Who will settle the disputes of the people that complain their neighbors leaves blowing in their yard? Who would wait for your call to hand-deliver your mail?" Ms. Hancock said that clearly, the Manchester Police Department goes above and beyond. With Officers being attacked everyday by criminals, she is heartbroken to know they are now also being attacked by the people that they serve, the people on the Board, because this is nothing short of an attack on their well-being and their families, and shame on all of the Board.

Mr. Mark Belpulsi, 120 Brookside Lane, Ballwin, Missouri, said he is the Public Works Superintendent and he has worked for the City for 38 years. He said he is LinkedIn as many are aware. He said he wanted to tell everyone what his quote is, and that is: "To serve the citizens of Manchester to the best of my ability and to make Manchester a safe and great place to raise a family." He said that anyone is able and welcome to look at it, and it has been like that since the website started. Mr. Belpulsi said the Employee Committee would have loved to meet with some of the Board members, but it did not work out, so he has a few comments to make. When the Employee Committee first met with Beattie and Associates and it was offered to be insured through them, employees said: "We were scared and we said we didn't want to leave SLAIT", because that was a ploy to lower rates for one year and then go right back up to where they were or above. He stated that Tom Beattie pretty much verbatim said "Yes, that is a chance you take. Yes, they wanted the City's business, and that is why they were offering such low rates." So, the first year was lower, the second year went back up to the same rate. Ballwin was self-insured and now they are back in SLAIT. He asked: "Now, why is that?" and then answered that is because self-insured does not work for a City as small as Manchester. He said employees were just wondering if SLAIT was approached this year for a bid, and the Board would have to go through Daniel and Henry because that is the broker for SLAIT. He added that nobody can say they know what their bids are because SLAIT would not give them that information, plus they do not have personal information of the employees on usage, so it was impossible to get a rate quote from them.

Mr. Belpulsi stated ways that the City could save money: He said he knows that trash is a possibility, and he knows the Board does not want to hear that. Mr. Belpulsi said his mother, who lives in Winchester, is paralyzed from the waist down, on a fixed income, she is a widow, and she pays \$16 a month, and she pays it every month. He said his mother-in-law is in her mid-80's, lives in Ballwin and pays \$21 a month and has no problem eating and paying her other bills. He said this is not a tax; it is a fee for a service that is needed by everybody in this area. The average income of Manchester residents is \$81,000 a year, and he doesn't see all these poor people. He said it is true that on the census 7 percent of citizens are over the age of 65, and with about 6,500 households in Manchester, 7 percent is approximately 460 households. Addressing the Board, Mr. Belpulsi said "You could ask the citizens for a small tax and do a little bit at a time, you don't have to hammer anybody. People do not argue with having to pay; they are more than willing to pay, but they have to be asked. The fire districts ask, the schools ask, everybody asks, but we don't ask."

Mr. Belpulsi stated that Manchester has highest ratio of citizens to employees. He said the City's employees serve a larger number of citizens with the least number of employees in the whole St. Louis area. Last year Manchester was last in pay, in holidays and personal days, and last in retirement. He said if he would retire today on the money that the City has put in his retirement, and he has his own since he didn't trust it, he can retire today (38 years of service) on \$358 a month.

Mr. Belpulsi stated that when the employees reach 15 years of service, vacation stops at four weeks, employees get no more, and Manchester is also the lowest there too. Everyone else gets a day for every couple years after the 15 years of service. He said Manchester's maintenance workers are paid \$4,600 less than the 11 other cities surveyed (starting salaries). Administrative Secretaries are \$5,000 less (starting salaries). He said the Board has the benefit package information they were given in April, everything is stated right there. A maintenance worker II receives \$4,500 less, a police officer receives \$6,000, on average, less. In regard to superintendents, such as him, and the Park's superintendent, he had another superintendent call him for a salary survey and when he told him what he makes he was told they weren't going to use what he makes because it is \$9,700 less than their average starting salary.

Mr. Belpulsi stated the employees here are skilled laborers, certified truck drivers (CDL certified), equipment operators, concrete finishers, carpenters, plumbers, welders, but they are not ditch diggers, although they do that too. He said Manchester has experienced officers, administrative assistants, court clerks, and the city clerk. When the older employees leave nobody will be here to take their place if salary and benefits do not change. He said employees are asking for little change at a time, baby steps are all we are asking. He said employees want to work with the Board, we all make tough decisions in our lives every day, the Board and the employees are setting the table for what the City of Manchester and the employees will be in the future. He asked the Board to please help us be the best City we can.

Mrs. Pat Fox, 43 Boyd Avenue, Valley Park, wife of employee Dave Fox, stated she was not at the meeting to speak to the Board of Alderman, as it is already known where they stand, but she was there to speak to the citizens of Manchester. Because of the choices their aldermen have made, there are employees taking home just \$1,200 a month after paying the premium for insurance. That's just \$300.00 more a month than the Mayor and \$800.00 more than the Aldermen. There are employees who can no longer afford to pay for life-saving medication for themselves or family members, and still others now qualify for public assistance, but they still come to work to make sure that YOU, the Citizens of Manchester, have plowed streets in the winter, clean parks, and safe neighborhoods. She asked: "Do you think these employees have their whole mind on their jobs or do you think they might be a little worried about getting a call their loved one has fallen ill because they couldn't afford their life-saving medication or their electricity was shut off because they chose to put food on the table over paying the heating or cooling bill? Do you think those same employees will risk getting into an accident driving into work on snow and ice covered streets to clean your streets so you don't have to take the same risk on your way to work or school?"

Mrs. Fox stated upon seeing her at the last Aldermanic meeting, Alderwoman Ottenad commented: "I haven't seen you in a while." She said she replied "And I don't know how much longer you will." To which she replied "You gotta do what you gotta do." So, she is doing what she has gotta do. She is letting the residents of City of Manchester know how the board really feels about the citizens and employees of Manchester. They do not care. The board doesn't care whether the great employees they have stay and continue to give you the great services that you have been accustomed to.

Mrs. Fox stated she is asking the people in attendance here tonight to look at the group of people in the front of this room and think: "Are these people really looking out for you, the residents, of Manchester? Or is it the city employee who has to choose between buying a lifesaving medicine and putting food on their table?" These employees whom are being forced to choose, many who have been with the City for many years, they know your streets, they know your name. They know how you like to have your streets done, they know how you like to have the Parks cleaned, they want to stay and keep you safe and keep your streets and parks clean. But if push comes to shove they have to do 'what you gotta do'".

Mrs. Fox stated that additionally she works for the State of Missouri and if any City employee would like to see if they qualify for public assistance to come see her. She said a person can be up to 150% of the poverty line to qualify. That is what the City has done to some of these employees.

Mr. Dave Fox, 43 Boyd Avenue, said he has been an employee of the City of Manchester for more than 18 years. Up until now the Board of Aldermen has been fairly reasonable to the employees of the City. The Board of Aldermen has recently chosen to cut employee benefits and

has made derogatory comments about the employees of the City of Manchester. By derogatory, he said he is referring to a recent comment that Alderman Hamill made in referencing the City of Manchester employees as being the “bottom feeders” of the SLAIT program. Comments have also been stated in writing that the employees of the City of Manchester have made no effort to keep renewal costs down. No one wants to have health issues. Mr. Fox said: “As almost everyone knows I had a son born with complex medical needs. I was blessed to have him for 13 years. He is now deceased. With the exception of one board member, the rest of you on the Board of Alderman should feel fortunate to not have had to fully utilize the health care system as I was forced to do. I spent the better part of 13 years learning the ins and outs of the healthcare system. Not only did I suffer stress over his health issues, but what I had to pay out in co-pays and doctor visits. While, I loved my son and wouldn’t have changed the time I had with him, if there was something that I could have done to keep him from being born with a genetic disorder, I would have done it. To say that I could have prevented my son’s health issues is like saying that I did something to create his poor health. The idea that we can prevent all health issues that some have and others don’t is unrealistic. They don’t want to be ill any more than you want to. But unfortunately like my son, some people are born predisposed to health issues while others aren’t. Not all health issues can be prevented. If your family currently has no health issues, feel blessed and don’t take it for granted.”

Mr. Fox stated the employees of the City of Manchester shouldn’t have to worry about the additional premium cost of health insurance, co-pays or limited doctor visits, instead they should be able to focus their attention on serving the residents of the City of Manchester.

Ms. Bonnie Krueger, 715 Carman Woods Drive, said she is speaking as a resident, not as a reporter for the paper. She said she takes issue with the blanket statement that the average Manchester resident cannot afford \$10 a month in trash and recycle services, especially with the information that was presented that seven percent of Manchester population are receiving Social Security. She said this is West St. Louis County, this is not North City, and it is not the poor parts of North County or South County, this is West County, and by living in West County, you are making the decision to pay more. You pay more for your home, you pay more services because you go to good schools and there are good police departments and good fire districts. She said that is why she wants to live here. She stated that if you cannot afford to live here because of \$10, then you didn’t plan for your retirement very well. You know when you retire you know you have to plan for things. She said she cannot believe that it will send the average retired person over the edge. There are certainly the exceptions, and she is not discounting those folks, and perhaps those that have more can help them. She said her husband suggested that they start a “Go-Fund-Me” account; that is how serious they are about this. She said they want to protect the employees to keep Manchester great.

Mr. Dan O’Brien, 512 Glan Tai Drive, said he is very proud of the people of Manchester for coming out. It has been great and there hasn’t been a Jerry Springer and everyone has been very nice. First of all he disagrees with everything they have said about the trash pickup because he doesn’t ever want that to be on the table because he knows what is going to happen. He said when you get to 7,000 homes via paying for the trash, there are going to be some angry people. He said he would rather have them angry at the Board than angry at the City employees, because some of the residents are going to see it that way. There are other ways to cut the budget. The beautiful thing about democracy and how this is working is there is a problem and all the people are coming down to represent what is going on and they are speaking very civilly. There is a way to fix this, and they are just asking is for the Board to fix it. Nobody has gotten out of hand, everything has been pleasant.

Mr. O'Brien stated he believes there should be something in place where the employees receive a cost of living increase. It raises what is going on and he thinks as a minimum these people should have 2.5 percent a year raises. Because of the craziness of the health care industry now, insurance companies are able to do whatever they want and we can't stop it right now, and that is going to work itself out. He said as far as wages he believes in the employees all across the board; firemen get 7 percent a year. If Manchester's employees can get a minimum of 2.5 percent there are other ways to cut things.

6. REPORTS FROM THE MAYOR

a. Mayoral Report

Mayor Willson reported on October 8, the City had a document shredding event, with 180 participants, 5,700 pounds of shredded documents, 429 food items, and \$166 donated cash which will all be donated to the Circle of Concern; later that morning he attended the CERT graduation in Chesterfield; later that afternoon he attended the ArtsFest. He stated that he attended the Planning and Zoning Department meeting on October 10; attended the Work Session on October 11; attended the Senior staff meeting on October 13; attended the Lafayette Area Mayors Meeting on October 14; and attended the soft opening of Academy Sports on the October 14.

7. REPORTS FROM THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR

a. List of Paid Bills (Warrant dates of October 3 – October 15, 2016)

There were no questions.

8. REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES

a. Homecoming Committee

Alderman Ottenad stated there will be a Homecoming meeting on October 19 at 6:30 p.m. at the Legion. She said it will be a wrap up meeting for the 30<sup>th</sup> Manchester Homecoming.

b. Manchester Arts

Alderman Stevens reported that there were about 250-300 people who came by the ArtsFest. She said there was a diversity of people that came. She wanted to mention the next activity will be on October 23 and it will be a short film showing at City Hall at 6:30 p.m.

c. Planning and Zoning Commission

Alderman Clement reported that there was a meeting last Monday, and there were three items on the agenda. He said one item was for a new home on Henry; there was a request for text amendment for mortuaries to be allowed, and also a Special Use Permit for mortuary services, without cremation.

9. ACTION ON OLD BILLS

- a. There were none.

10. INTRODUCTION OF NEW BILLS

- a. BILL AMENDING THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATING TO THE OFFICE OF DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION

Alderman Baumann introduced Bill # 16-2276, entitled: “AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE VI OF CHAPTER 115 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF MANCHESTER, MISSOURI, RELATING TO THE APPOINTIVE OFFICE OF DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION”, by title only.

There being no questions, no further action was required at that time.

- b. BILL AMENDING THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATING TO THE MANCHESTER ARTS COUNCIL

Alderman Stevens introduced Bill # 16-2277, entitled: “AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 235.260 THROUGH 235.290 OF THE CITY OF MANCHESTER CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATING TO THE MANCHESTER ARTS COUNCIL”, by title only.

There being no questions, no further action was required at that time.

- c. RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONTRACT WITH DAVEY TREE SERVICE

Alderman Clement read Proposed Resolution # 16-0576 entitled: “A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR OF THE CITY OF MANCHESTER TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE DAVEY TREE EXPERT COMPANY, DOING BUSINESS AS DAVEY RESOURCE GROUP, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED EIGHT THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$8,000.00) FOR TREE ORDINANCE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES”, by title only.

Alderman Ottenad asked who determines the stakeholders.

Director of Public Works Bob Ruck responded that this would be a comprehensive group.

Alderman Clement made the motion for approval of Resolution #16-0576. The motion was seconded by Alderman Hamill and carried by a vote of 6-0, without objection.

11. MISCELLANEOUS

- a. Comments from the Public

Mr. Mark Belpulsi, The City’s Public Works Superintendent, stated that in St. Louis Today, there was an article entitled “OUCH” about the health care coverage and there is a nice graph that shows that in 2016 (the private industries) the average premium being approximately \$18,000 and the employees are picking up \$5,200 and the employers are picking up \$12,000. He said the City of Manchester is the direct opposite.

Mr. John Schrader, 804 Windingpath Lane, thanked the citizens for coming to the meeting. He said there is a major election coming up in three weeks to figure out who will be in the White House. He stated that December is when residents can start signing up to be aldermen. He said if someone does not like what is going on in their City, get involved and change it.

Ms. Briana Hancock, 390 Allen, Florissant, stated she received one reply to her email from Mr. Clement and it was basically an email asking what was happening and why her husband's paycheck was incredibly small. She said she went over the budget for the City and she had asked why the budget for the aldermen had gone up and his response was direct quote: "The Aldermen budget has gone up due to providing our City's senior citizens with a recognition dinner barbecue and picnic". She said her husband is not able to provide for their family so the senior citizens can have free trash and a picnic.

Ms. Hancock stated Aldermen Clement said: "I regret my response does not make your family situation any better." She said she wanted to ask if he (Alderman Clement) really regrets that, because she has seen a lot of eye rolling from his side at all the people who have come up, and it is really unfortunate that the Board is really not listening to the people who take care of you. It is sad and she hopes more people run for the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. David Ebert, 813 Bromfield Terrace, Manchester, said he has worked for the City of Manchester for 27 years. He said he looked at the choices the Board has given him for insurance, and not that they don't know, but in January he had a hip replacement and he ended up with a staph infection that could have ended with a loss of a leg or he could have lost his life. He was lucky enough to have insurance and made it through it not paying a whole lot. He has compared what he has now has to what he was forced to choose, and if he didn't choose and a decision would be made for him and his two sons. He said that not only is it a \$3,000 pay cut for him to afford the insurance, but the plan he had to choose came with a 20 percent co-insurance and the deductible was raised. He would probably have had to pay another \$10,000 to \$20,000 for the two surgeries he has had to be able to be walking. He said he lives in town and has worked for Manchester for 27 years and does a lot of programs with the public like CERT, Citizen's Police Academy and Special Olympics, and he doesn't know if he can afford to work here anymore.

Mr. Ebert stated Mr. Belpulsi talked about the retirement, he said there is no retirement here, there is no pension here, you put a little bit of money in to an account that employees can't match. If he had to retire today he has \$100,000 dollars to live off of. He can't live off that so he has to come to work every day. He is a dedicated employee that bought a house here in Manchester, his kids are here, we spend money here and if he would have to go through what he just went through for 9 months. He wondered where he would come up with \$15,000 - \$20,000 with the insurance that he was forced to choose to have now. He said there are plenty of options to come up with money to help us as employees take care of our families and he said he knows there was an email was sent out for those that have families, they get an extra \$10,000 benefit. Why would that even be mentioned? You want families to come and do stuff here in your town; his kids love being here in Manchester, and he doesn't consider himself getting a \$10,000 benefit because he has kids.

Mr. Ebert stated he doesn't want to leave here. He has a lot of experience, and yes, you can get a 21 year old officer to come in here, but he does not have the experience. That is what it is going to get to if a lot of employees leave here.

Ms. Pat Fox, 43 Boyd, commented that tomorrow night is the budget meeting.

12. EXECUTIVE SESSION:

- a. Closed Session: Pursuant to Section 610.021 (1) of the Revised Statutes of the State of Missouri pertaining to legal actions, causes of action or litigation involving a public governmental body and any confidential or privileged communication between a public governmental body or its representatives and its attorneys.

Alderman Hamill made the motion to go into Closed Session: Pursuant to Section 610.021 (1) of the Revised Statutes of the State of Missouri pertaining to legal actions, causes of action or litigation involving a public governmental body and any confidential or privileged communication between a public governmental body or its representatives and its attorneys.

The motion was seconded by Alderman Clement.

A poll of the Board showed:

Alderman Hamill – aye  
Alderman Diehl – aye  
Alderman Baumann – aye  
Alderman Ottenad – aye  
Alderman Clement – aye  
Alderman Stevens – aye

Mayor Willson announced the vote passed by 6 to 0.

13. ADJOURNMENT

At 8:30 p.m., after the Executive Session, Alderman Hamill made the motion to adjourn. The motion was seconded by Alderman Clement, and carried unanimously, without objection. The meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Ruth E. Baker, MMC/MPCC  
City Clerk

***Note:** This is a journal of the Board of Aldermen meeting October 17, 2016 (summary); not a verbatim transcript. If a recording of the meeting is desired, please contact City Hall.*